# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MEGAN HOFFMANN KOLB, Personal Administrator for the Estate of DEAN HENRY HOFFMANN, Deceased,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 24-CV-160

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS; KEVIN A. CARR,
SECRETARY, WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS; JARED HOY, DEPUTY
SECRETARY, WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS; YANA PUSICH, SECURITY
DIRECTOR; DR. KRISTINA deBLANC,
PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATE; NATHAN PACH,
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER, ANN YORK, RN,
and RANDALL HEPP, WARDEN,
WAUPUN CORRECTIONAL in their individual
and official capacities.

Defendants.

## FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendants Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Kevin Carr, Jared Hoy, Yana Pusich, Kristina DeBlanc, Nathan Pach, Ann York, and Randall Hepp, by their attorneys, Attorney General Joshua L. Kaul and Assistant Attorneys General Brandon T. Flugaur, Kevin Grzebielski, and Samir Jaber, answer Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint as follows:

## INTRODUCTION

- 1. Defendants DENY engaging in any discriminatory treatment or practices; DENY violating any of Plaintiff's rights and engaging in any unlawful actions. The remaining allegations state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants DENY.
- 2. Defendants ADMIT Dean Hoffman died on June 29, 2023; ADMIT Hoffman was incarcerated at Waupun Correctional Institution; lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of how Hoffmann died because Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Hoffman's medical information at the time of this answer, and therefore DENY; DENY violating any of Plaintiff's rights and engaging in any unlawful action.
- Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation sets forth a legal 3. conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants DENY.
- 4. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation sets forth a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants DENY.
  - 5. Defendants DENY.
  - 6. Defendants DENY.
  - 7. Defendants DENY.
- 8. Defendants DENY violating any of Plaintiff's rights and engaging in any unlawful actions; DENY Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief that it seeks.

9. Defendants DENY Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief that it seeks.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- Subject to and without waiving Defendants' defenses as stated below, 10. Defendants ADMIT this Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's constitutional claims in this lawsuit.
- 11. Defendants ADMIT the Eastern District of Wisconsin is the appropriate venue.

# **PARTIES**

- 12. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
  - 13. Defendants ADMIT.
- Defendants ADMIT Carr was the Secretary of the Wisconsin 14. Department of Corrections from January 7, 2019 through March 5, 2024; ADMIT the Secretary is responsible for the management of the Wisconsin Department of Corrections.
  - 15. Defendants ADMIT.
- 16. Defendants ADMIT Pusich is the Security Director at Waupun Correctional Institution (Waupun); DENY Pusich reviewed an incident report on June 20, 2023 and affirmatively allege Pusich reviewed a conduct report on June 20, 2023.
- 17. Defendants ADMIT DeBlanc is a psychological associate at Waupun; DENY the remaining allegation.

- 18. Defendants ADMIT Pach was a correctional officer at Waupun; DENY the remaining allegation.
- 19. Defendants ADMIT York was a registered nurse at Waupun; lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 20. Defendants ADMIT Hepp is the Warden of Waupun, and as Warden, he serves as the chief executive officer of Waupun who is responsible for the planning, operation, direction and management of Waupun; DENY any remaining allegations.

# FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Defendants ADMIT.
- 22.Defendants DENY to the extent this paragraph states a legal conclusion.
- 23.Defendants DENY to the extent this paragraph states a legal conclusion.
- 24.Defendants ADMIT.
- 25. Defendants ADMIT.
- 26. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 27. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not

- 28. Defendants ADMIT.
- 29. Defendants ADMIT.
- Defendants ADMIT the Warden serves as the chief executive officer of 30. Waupun who is responsible for the planning, operation, direction and management of Waupun; DENY any remaining allegations.
  - 31. Defendants DENY.
- 32. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 33. Defendants ADMIT Hoffman arrived at Waupun on April 10, 2023; DENY all remaining allegations.
  - 34. Defendants DENY.
  - Defendants DENY. 35.
- 36. Defendants lack sufficient knowledge and information to form a belief as to the truth of what the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel reported, and therefore DENY.
- 37. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not

- 38. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 39. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 40. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of what Hoffman told his family, and therefore DENY.
- 41. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
- 42. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 43. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.

- 44. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
  - 45. Defendants ADMIT.
- 46. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
  - 47. Defendants ADMIT.
  - 48. Defendants ADMIT.
  - 49. Defendants ADMIT.
- 50. Defendants ADMIT Hoffman was handcuffed and taken to the restrictive status housing unit (RHU); DENY the remaining allegations.
- 51. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants DENY Wis. Stat. § 302.40 applies to Waupun; affirmatively allege the statute speaks for itself.
- 52. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 53. Defendants ADMIT York is not a physician; DENY Wis. Stat. § 302.40 applies to Waupun.
- Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 54. to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not

- 55. Defendants ADMIT.
- Defendants ADMIT. 56.
- 57. Defendants DENY.
- 58. Defendants DENY.
- 59. Defendants ADMIT.
- 60. Defendants ADMIT.
- 61. Defendants ADMIT.
- 62. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
  - 63. Defendants DENY.
  - 64. Defendants DENY.
- 65. Defendants DENY Hoffman was placed in "solitary confinement" and affirmatively allege Hoffman was in temporary lockup status (TLU); lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegation, and therefore DENY.
- 66. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not

- 67. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 68. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 69. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 70. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 71. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.

- 72. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of what an inmate near Hoffman told staff, and therefore, DENY; lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 73. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
- 74. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
  - Defendants DENY. 75.
- Defendants DENY Hoffman was in "solitary confinement" and 76. affirmatively allege he was in TLU; DENY Pusich reviewed an incident report and affirmatively allege Pusich reviewed a conduct report on June 27, 2023; DENY any remaining allegations.
- Defendants ADMIT that a boxed was on the conduct report was checked 77. indicating that the June 20, 2023 incident created "a risk of serious disruption at the facility or community." DENY remainder.
  - Defendants ADMIT. 78.

- 79. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
- 80. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
- 81. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of what an officer observed, and therefore, DENY; DENY any remaining allegations.
  - 82. Defendants DENY.
- 83. Defendants ADMIT Pach did not open the trap; DENY Pach failed to open to the trap; affirmatively allege there was no expectation for Pach to open the trap.
  - 84. Defendants ADMIT Hoffman was found unresponsive.
  - 85. Defendants DENY.
- 86. Defendants ADMIT Pach's report indicated "Inmate Hoffman appeared to be pale, cold to touch, and both eyes open;" DENY any remaining allegations.
  - 87. Defendants DENY.
  - 88. Defendants DENY.
  - 89. Defendants ADMIT.
  - 90. Defendants ADMIT EMT personnel arrived on scene at 0707 hours.

- 91. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
  - 92. Defendants ADMIT.
- 93. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENY.
  - 94. Defendants DENY.
  - 95. Defendants ADMIT.
- Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 96. to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.

#### **CLAIMS**

#### COUNT I – MONELL LIABILITY - DEFENDANTS

- 97. Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1-96 above.
- 98. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants DENY.
  - 99. Defendants ADMIT.
  - Defendants DENY. 100.
  - 101. Defendants DENY.

- 102. Defendants DENY.
- 103. Defendants DENY.
- 104. Defendants DENY.
  - A. DENY.
  - B. DENY.
  - C. DENY.
  - D. DENY.
  - E. DENY.
  - F. DENY
  - G. DENY.
  - H. DENY.
  - I. DENY.
  - J. DENY.
  - K. DENY.
- 101. Defendants DENY.<sup>1</sup>

# COUNT II – VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT

- 102. Defendants incorporate their responses to paragraphs 1-104 and duplicate paragraph 101 on page 15 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendants note Plaintiff's Amended Complaint contains two sets of paragraphs numbered 101 - 103. This response is to paragraph 101 on page 15 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, and the following responses to paragraphs 102 and 103 are to paragraphs 102-103 on page 16 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

- 104. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.
- 105. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.
- Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.
- 107. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.
- 108. Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants DENY.
- 109. Defendants ADMIT the named defendants were acting within the scope of their employment and under the color of state law; DENY any remaining allegations.
- Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.
- Defendants affirmatively allege this allegation states a legal conclusion to which no response is required.
  - 112. Defendants DENY.
  - 113. Defendants DENY.
  - 114. Defendants DENY.

- Defendants ADMIT Hoffman was housed at Waupun from April 10, 2023 until June 29, 2023; DENY the remaining allegations.
- 116. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation because at the time of this answer Defendants did not have a signed medical authorization allowing disclosure of Plaintiff's medical information, and therefore, DENY.
  - 117. Defendants DENY.
- Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation, and therefore, DENIES.
  - Defendants DENY. 119.

## JURY DEMAND

Defendants request a trial by jury.

## **OTHER**

Defendants DENY any and all allegations in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Dkt. 4) not specifically answered above.

### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

As and for the affirmative defenses in this action, Defendants state as follows:

1. All or portions of Plaintiff's complaint may be dismissed to the extent that the decedent Plaintiff Hoffman, represented through his estate, failed to exhaust administrative remedies.

2. To the extent that Defendants are named in their personal capacity, all

or portions of Plaintiff's complaint must be dismissed pursuant to the doctrine of

qualified immunity.

3. To the extent that Defendants are named in their official capacity, all or

portions of Plaintiff's complaint must be dismissed pursuant to the doctrine of

sovereign immunity and the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4. This action may be subject to the limitations and provisions of 42 U.S.C. §

1997e.

5. All or portions of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint must be dismissed

due to the plaintiff's failure to mitigate his damages.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants demand judgment dismissing Plaintiff's

Amended Complaint (Dkt. 4) on the merits with prejudice, and for such other relief

as the court deems just and proper, including the award of Defendants' reasonable

attorney fees and expenses.

Dated: April 22, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

JOSHUA L. KAUL

Attorney General of Wisconsin

s/Samir S. Jaber

SAMIR S. JABER

Assistant Attorney General

State Bar #1076522

BRANDON T. FLUGAUR

Assistant Attorney General

State Bar #1074305

KEVIN L. GRZEBIELSKI Assistant Attorney General State Bar #1098414

Attorneys for Defendants Wisconsin Department of Corrections, Kevin Carr, Jared Hoy, Randall Hepp, Yana Pusich, Nathan Pach, Ann York, Kristina deBlanc

Wisconsin Department of Justice Post Office Box 7857 Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7857 (608) 266-1780 (Flugaur) (608) 266-7234 (Grzebielski) (608) 267-2229 (Jaber) (608) 294-2907 (Fax) flugaurbt@doj.state.wi.us grzebielskikl@doj.state.wi.us jaberss@doj.state.wi.us